BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

| IN RE: | en Diller |
|--|----------------------|
| APPLICATION OF MEMPHIS) | 100 FAN 10 FAN 11 E3 |
| NETWORX, LLC FOR A CERTIFICATE) OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND) | ENTER A CARACTERIA |
| NECESSITY TO PROVIDE INTERSTATE) TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES) | |
| AND JOINT PETITION OF MEMPHIS LIGHT, GAS & WATER DIVISION, | DOCKET NO. 99-00909 |
| A DIVISION OF THE CITY OF MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE ("MLG&W") | |
| AND A&L NETWORKS-TENNESSEE,) LLC ("A&L") FOR APPROVAL OF) | |
| AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN MLG&W) AND A&L REGARDING OWNERSHIP) | |
| OF MEMPHIS NETWORX, LLC. | |

RESPONSE OF INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS, LOCAL 1288 TO REQUEST OF APPLICANT AND JOINT PETITIONERS TO DENY THE EXPANSION OF THE ROLE OF IBEW AS INTERVENOR

COMES NOW the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 1288 ("IBEW"), Intervenor in the above docket, and files this Response to the Request of Memphis Networx, LLC ("Applicant") and Memphis Light, Gas & Water Division and A&L Networks-Tennessee, LLC ("Joint Petitioners") to deny the expansion of the role of the IBEW in the above docket:

1. On November 24, 1999, the Applicant filed an application with the Tennessee Regulatory Authority ("TRA") for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to provide telecommunications services. Additionally, Joint Petitioners sought approval of the Operating Agreement of Memphis Networx, LLC pursuant to T.C.A. §7-52-103(d).



- 2. On March 9, 2000, the Pre-Hearing Officer filed a report and recommendation to the TRA, which listed nine issues, identified the number of witnesses expected by the parties to testify in this Docket, and established a procedural schedule. This report was subsequently approved by the TRA.
- On April 3, 2000, the IBEW filed a Petition to Intervene in this proceeding, which was heard. in a Pre-Hearing Conference held April 5, 2000. At the time of this Conference, the matter was scheduled for a hearing beginning April 13, 2000. Because of this pending hearing, and because this Petition had been filed after the procedural schedule had been established, counsel for the IBEW requested at the Conference that it be allowed to participate in this Docket to the full extent possible without causing any undue delay of the matter.
- 4. Subsequent to this, pursuant to the Pre-Hearing Officer's Order of April 25, 2000, the IBEW was permitted to intervene to permit it to monitor the proceedings, obtain copies of documents, and to make an opening statement at the beginning of the hearing. Also, IBEW counsel was permitted to cross-examine witnesses who were already scheduled to appear at the hearing in limited areas.
- 5. Subsequent to granting of the IBEW's Petition to Intervene the hearing of this Docket has been essentially rescheduled twice. The April 13 hearing date was rescheduled to May 1, 2000. Then on May 2, because of filing of the Amended Application, the matter was rescheduled indefinitely. At the status conference held May 2, the IBEW requested that its role be expanded to add additional witnesses and to conduct additional cross-examination to address the issues that were raised in it's Petition of Intervene.

since the issues it raised at the May 2 status conference, as well in its Petition and its Brief involve the public good and should be addressed fully. Furthermore, unlike its position when it first intervened, this matter now not scheduled for a date certain and, essentially the matter is back to "square one" of the process. IBEW's Petition to Intervene was filed later in this

proceeding only because it had not been fully apprized by the Applicant and Joint Petitioners

The IBEW respectfully submits that it should be allowed to participate fully in this matter,

of the full impact of the proposed venture on it and the public in general. As soon as it

became aware of this impact, it filed its Petition. Allowing the IBEW now to participate

fully is in the interest of justice, and the conduct of these proceedings will not be impaired

by allowing such intervention, given what has transpired since its Petition was originally

filed.

6.

WHEREFORE, Intervenor respectfully requests that it be allowed to participate fully in this

Docket, present additional witnesses, fully participate in cross-examination of scheduled witnesses

and be allowed to address the issues listed in the March 9, 2000 Report, as well as those that it

raised its Petition to Intervene.

Respectfully submitted,

ALLEN, GODWIN, MORRIS, LAURENZI & BLOOMFIELD, P.C. 200 Jefferson Avenue, Suite 1400

Memphis, Tennessee 38103

(901) 528-1702

BY

LEE J. BLOOMFIELD #8851

3

BY: DEBORAH GODWIN #9972

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Lee J. Bloomfield, do hereby certify that on May 16th, 2000, a copy of the foregoing document was served on the parties of record listed below via facsimile and U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, first class.

Richard Collier, Esquire Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37243-0500

D. Billye Sanders, Esquire Waller, Lansden, Dortch & Davis 511 Union Street, Suite 2100 Nashville, TN 37219-1750

John Knox Walkup, Esquire Wyatt, Tarrant & Combs 511 Union Street, Suite 1500 Nashville, TN 37219-1750

Ward Huddleston Memphis Networx 7555 Appling Center Drive Memphis, TN 38133-5069

J. Maxwell Williams, Esquire MLG&W 220 South Main Street Memphis, TN 38103

Henry Walker, Esquire Boult, Cummings, et al. 414 Union Avenue, Suite 1600 P.O. Box 198062 Nashville, TN 39219-8062 Charles B. Welch, Esquire Farris, Mathews, et al. 205 Capitol Blvd., Suite 303 Nashville, TN 37219

Guy Hicks, Esquire Patrick Turner, Esquire Bellsouth Telecommunications, Inc. 333 Commerce Street, Suite 2101 Nashville, TN 37201-3300

LEE J. BLOOMFIELD